

REMARKS

Applicant hereby responds to the Office Action dated May 24, 2005 in the above-referenced patent application. Claims 1-25 are pending in the above-referenced patent application. Applicant notes that the Office Action refers to Claims 1-22 only, however, it is respectfully submitted that in response to the last office action new Claims 23-25 were added, such that Claims 1-25 are pending in the patent application.

Claims 14-15 were objected to as being dependent upon themselves, however, as the listing of claims shows Claim 14 is dependent on Claim 13, and Claim 15 is dependent on Claim 14.

Claims 1-22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite because of the limitation "further processing" in Claims 1 and 13. Claims 1 and 13 have been amended to eliminate that limitations, and overcome the rejection.

CONCLUSION

Please charge any deficit or credit any surplus to our Deposit Account No. 01-1960. A duplicate copy of this page is enclosed for this purpose.

For these and other reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection should be withdrawn, and all of the claims be allowed. Accordingly, reexamination, reconsideration and allowance of all the claims are respectfully requested.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

August 17, 2005.


By: Sarah A. Nielsen



Signature

August 17, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



8/17/05
(Date)

Kenneth L. Sherman

Registration No. 33,783

Myers Dawes Andras & Sherman, LLP

19900 MacArthur Blvd., 11th Floor

Irvine, CA 92612

(949) 223-9600

(949) 223-9610 – Fax

USPTO Customer No.: 23386